### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

LEONEL LOPEZ AVALOS, JR., ET AL.

**§ § §** 

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:13-CV-799-O

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.

.A.

# FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION REGARDING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS

Pending before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Dismiss [doc. #8], filed February 18, 2014. In their motion, Defendant requests that the Court dismiss the above-styled and numbered cause pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). (Defendants' Motion to Dismiss ("Defs.' Mot.") at 1-6.) Having carefully considered the Defendant's motion, and noting that Plaintiffs wholly failed to file a response, the Court recommends granting Defendant's motion for the reasons stated therein.

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO OBJECT TO PROPOSED FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION AND CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO OBJECT

Under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), each party to this action has the right to serve and file specific written objections in the United States District Court to the United States Magistrate Judge's proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation within fourteen (14) days after the party has been served with a copy of this document. The United States District Judge need only make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the United States Magistrate Judge's proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation to which specific objection is timely made. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Failure to file, by the date stated above, a specific written objection to a proposed factual finding or legal conclusion will bar a party, except upon grounds of plain error or manifest injustice, from attacking on appeal any such proposed factual findings and legal

#### Case 4:13-cv-00799-O Document 11 Filed 04/01/14 Page 2 of 2 PageID 97

conclusions accepted by the United States District Judge. *See Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass* 'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428–29 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

#### ORDER

Under 28 U.S.C. § 636, it is hereby **ORDERED** that each party is granted until **April 15**, **2014** to serve and file written objections to the United States Magistrate Judge's proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation. It is further **ORDERED** that if objections are filed and the opposing party chooses to file a response, the response shall be filed within seven (7) days of the filing date of the objections.

It is further **ORDERED** that the above-styled and numbered action, previously referred to the United States Magistrate Judge for findings, conclusions and recommendation, be and hereby is returned to the docket of the United States District Judge.

SIGNED April 1, 2014.

JEFFREY L. CURETON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

JLC/knv